

## **REMARKS**

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

### **I. Status of the Claims**

Claims 1–89, 91, and 100 were previously canceled.

Claims 90 and 99 are currently being amended. No claims are being added.

A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 90, 92–96, 99, 101–105, and 108–111 are now under examination in this application. Claims 97–98, 106–107 remain withdrawn.

### **II. Office Action**

The Office rejected claims 90 and 99 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement as well as the enablement requirement. (Office Action, p 2, ¶ 2.) According to the Office, the recitation of “solvate thereof” is not supported or enabled by the specification.

The Office objected to claims 90 and 99’s respective dependent claims 92–96, 101–105, and 108–111 for being dependent upon the rejected base claims, but found them allowable otherwise. (Office Action, p 3, ¶ 3.)

The Office acknowledged that the claimed compounds have been described to have in vivo neuronal regeneration and growth activity for which the claims have been limited to. (Office Action, p 3, ¶ 3.)

Applicants have amended claims 90 and 99 to deleted the recitation of “solvate”. This amendment renders moot the rejections as well as the objections. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections and the objections.

Applicants made the amendment without any prejudice and disclaimer, solely to place the present application in condition for allowance, therefore reserve the right to file a continuing application to pursue any subject matter omitted by the amendment.

**CONCLUSION**


Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

Examiner Chang is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 30, 2008

By  \_\_\_\_\_

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 29728  
Telephone: (202) 295-4059  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Rouget F. Henschel  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 39,221